Figure 4.2 (Criterion 4.2) – Ferris State University College of Business **CPC PERFORMANCE DATA: Quantitative Research Techniques & Statistics Analysis of Results Performance Indicator** Identified in Identified in Criterion Identified in Criterion 4.2 Identified in Criterion 4.1 **Identified in Criterion 4.2** Criterion 4.2 4.4 **Analysis of Action Taken or** What is your What is your Results Improvement What did you learn measurable measurement made or next instrument or process? Current Results qoal? from the results? step **Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends** Quantitative Peregrine CPC Exam: For the past Results trended Analysis was Outbound Exam Research three years, slightly downward performed on the Summative Techniques & External results have during the past sub-topical areas 100-Statistics: All to highlight trends. exceeded three vears. Comparative ACBSP Region 4 90 students · Test takers less than taking the institutions and R2 value = -0.10 This data was 45 minutes excluded 80 all ACBSP Peregrine shared with faculty to eliminate 70 -CPC exam will institutions in the who are "abandons" and "walk perform at or U.S. evaluating aways" as Aggregates above all strategies to recommended by Outbound ACBSP (US) - Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs. = 49.51 Outbound ACBSP Region 4 institutions in reverse this Peregrine. ACBSP negative trend. 40 (Great Lakes Council Council) = 46.69 Region 4 and 30 equal to all ACBSP 20 institutions in 10 the exam pool on the 9/1/2014 - 4/30/2015 9/1/2015 - 4/30/2016 9/1/2016 - 4/30/2017 Quantitative Research Longitudinal Comparison: Quantitative Res earch Techniques and Statistics Techniques & Counts Statistics Date Range 9/1/2014 - 4/30/2015 topical area. 9/1/2015 - 4/30/2016 281 9/1/2016 - 4/30/2017