

Appendix D

Academic Affairs Division Sharps Safety Device Evaluation Form

The MIOSHA Part 554: Bloodborne Pathogen Infectious Diseases requires all sharps that are being used where there is an exposure to blood or OPIM shall be reviewed on an annual basis. The purpose of this form is to document:

1. The Annual consideration of new safer sharps devices
2. Determine which sharp devices are currently in use
3. Document the criteria used in the selection of safer devices in use

Please circle the most appropriate answer for each question. Not Applicable (N/A) may be used if the question does not apply to this product. Please explain all problems with the device in the comments section. Keep this documentation one year plus the current year.

Ferris State University Sharps Safety Device Evaluation Form	
Principal Investigator/Supervisor:	Evaluation Date:
Evaluator's Name:	Job Title:
Department:	Extension:
Name of Device:	
Name of Manufacturer:	
Applications of Device:	
Number of Times Used:	Currently in use: Yes/No

#	Question	Disagree ----->----->-----> Agree					
1.	The safety feature can be activated using a one-handed technique.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
2.	The safety feature does not interfere with the normal use of this product.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
3.	Use of this product requires you to use the safety feature.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
4.	The user's hands remain behind the needle/sharp until activation of the safety mechanism is complete.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
5.	A clear and unmistakable change (either audible or visible) occurs when the safety feature is activated.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
6.	This product does not require more time to use than a non-safety device.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
7.	The device is easy to handle while wearing gloves.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
8.	The device is easy to handle when wet.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
9.	The safety feature works well with a wide variety of hand sizes.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
10.	The safety feature operates reliably.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
11.	The exposed sharp is permanently blunted or covered after use and prior to disposal.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
12.	This device will work with all required syringe and needle sizes.						
13.	Use of this product does not increase the number of sticks to the patient.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
14.	The user does not need extensive training for correct operation.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
15.	The device can be used without causing more patient discomfort than a conventional device.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
16.	This device offers a good view of any aspirated fluid.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A

#	Question	Disagree ----->----->-----> Agree					
17.	The product stops the flow of blood after the needle is removed from the catheter (or after the butterfly is inserted) and just prior to the line connections or the hep-lock capping.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
18.	It is not easy to skip a crucial step in proper use of the device.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
Additional Questions for I.V. Connectors							
19.	The user does not need extensive training for correct operation.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
20.	The device can be used without causing more patient discomfort than a conventional device.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
21.	This device offers a good view of any aspirated fluid.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
Additional Questions for Vacuum Tube Collection Systems							
22.	The user does not need extensive training for correct operation.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
23.	The device can be used without causing more patient discomfort than a conventional device.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	N/A
Would you recommend using this device?						Yes	No
Comments (describe problems, list incompatibilities):							