


Ferris State University

Benchmark Comparisons August 2008



To focus discussions about the importance of student engagement and guide institutional improvement efforts, NSSE created five Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice: Level of Academic Challenge, Active and Collaborative Learning, Student-Faculty Interaction, Enriching Educational Experiences, and Supportive Campus Environment. This Benchmark Comparisons Report compares the performance of your institution with your selected peers or consortium. In addition, page 9 provides two other comparisons between your school and (a) above-average institutions with benchmarks in the top 50% of all NSSE institutions and (b) high-performing institutions with benchmarks in the top 10% of all NSSE institutions. These displays allow you to determine if the engagement of your typical student differs in a statistically significant, meaningful way from the average student in these comparison groups. More detailed information about how benchmarks are created can be found on the NSSE Web site at **www.nsse.iub.edu/2008_Institutional_Report/.**

Statistical Significance



summarized.



Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)

Benchmark Comparisons

							Ferris State	e compar	ed with	:			
		Ferri	s State	Sele	cted P	eers	Carneg	ie Class	5	NSSE	NSSE 2008		
Class		М	ean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	
First-Year			9.4	51.4	***	15	52.0	***	19	52.9	***	26	
Senior		5	5.0	54.8		.02	57.0	***	14	56.5	**	11	
		First-Y	ear					S	enior				
100						100 -							
75						75 -							
50	49.4	51.4	52.0	52.9)	50 -	55.0	54.8		57.0	56.5		
25 —	_				_	25 -						-	
0F	erris State	Selected Peers	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2	.008	0 -	Ferris State	Selected Po	eers (Carnegie Class	NSSE 200	08	

Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) Items

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote high levels of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of academic effort and setting high expectations for student performance.

Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, doing homework or lab work, etc. related to academic program)

Number of assigned textbooks, books, or book-length packs of course readings

Number of written papers or reports of <u>20 pages or more</u>; number of written papers or reports of <u>between 5 and 19 pages</u>; and number of written papers or reports of <u>fewer than 5 pages</u>

Coursework emphasizes: **Analysis** of the basic elements of an idea, experience or theory

Coursework emphasizes: **Synthesis** and organizing of ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships

Coursework emphasizes: Making of judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods

Coursework emphasizes: Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations

Working harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations

Campus environment emphasizes: Spending significant amount of time studying and on academic work.

weighted by gender, enronment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)

Benchmark Comparisons

				Ferris State compared with:										
		Ferri	s State	Sele	cted P	eers	Carn	Carnegie Class			NSSE 2008			
Class		Ме	ean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c		
First-Yea	ar		2.4	40.0	***	.15	43		05	42.5		01		
Senior			2.2	50.0	**	.13	52		.00	50.8		.08		
		First-Y	ear					S	enior					
100 —						100 -								
75 —						75 -								
50 —	42.4	40.0	43.2	42.:	5	50 -	52.2	50.0	2	52.3	50.8	-		
25 —					_	25 -						_		
0 —	Ferris State	Selected Peers	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2	2008	0 -	Ferris State	Selected F	Peers (Carnegie Class	NSSE 200	8		

Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) Items

Students learn more when they are intensely involved in their education and asked to think about what they are learning in different settings. Collaborating with others in solving problems or mastering difficult material prepares students for the messy, unscripted problems they will encounter daily during and after college.

Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions

Made a class presentation

Worked with other students on projects during class

Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments

Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary)

Participated in a community-based project (e.g., service learning) as part of a regular course

Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of class (students, family members, co-workers, etc.)

weighted by genuer, enronment status, and institutional size.

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)

Benchmark Comparisons

						:						
		Ferri	s State	Sele	cted P	eers	Carneg	ie Clas	s	NSSE 2008		
Class		M	ean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Ye	ar		5.1	32.7	**	.13	35.0		.00	34		.02
Senior			1.5	40.1		.07	43.8	**	11	42		04
		First-Y	ear					Se	enior			
100 —						100 -						
75 —						75 -						
50 —						50 -	41.5	40.1		43.8	42.3	
25 –	35.1	32.7	35.0	34.0	6	25 -						
0 -	Ferris State	Selected Peers	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2	2008	0 -	Ferris State	Selected Pe	eers C	Carnegie Class	NSSE 200	8

Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) Items

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve practical problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside the classroom. As a result, their teachers become role models, mentors, and guides for continuous, life-long learning.

Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor

Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor

Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class

Worked with faculty members on activities other than coursework (committees, orientation, student-life activities, etc.)

Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty on your academic performance

Worked on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements

weighted by gender, enronment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)

Benchmark Comparisons

				Ferris State compared with:											
		Ferri	s State	Sele	cted P		Carneg	Carnegie Class			NSSE 2008				
Class		М	ean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c			
First-Yea	ar		4.0	25.8	**	14	26.4		18	27.5	***	26			
Senior			3.9	37.4	***	21	39.7	***	32	40.5	***	36			
		First-Y	ear					Se	enior						
100 —						100 -									
75 —						75 –									
50 —						50 -				39.7	40.5				
25 —	24.0	25.8	26.4	27.	5	25 –	33.9	37.4				_			
0 -	Ferris State	Selected Peers	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2	2008	0 -	Ferris State	Selected Pe	eers C	arnegie Class 1	NSSE 2008	3			

Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE) Items

Complementary learning opportunities enhance academic programs. Diversity experiences teach students valuable things about themselves and others. Technology facilitates collaboration between peers and instructors. Internships, community service, and senior capstone courses provide opportunities to integrate and apply knowledge.

Participating in co-curricular activities (organizations, campus publications, student government, social fraternity or sorority, etc.)

Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment

Community service or volunteer work

Foreign language coursework / Study abroad

Independent study or self-designed major

Culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.)

Serious conversations with students of different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values

Serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your own

Using electronic medium (e.g., listserv, chat group, Internet, instant messaging, etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment

Campus environment encouraging contact among students from different economic, social, and racial or ethnic backgrounds

Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

weighted by gender, enronment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)

Benchmark Comparisons

				Ferris State compared with:										
		Ferri	s State	Sele	cted P	eers	Carneg	Carnegie Class		NSSE 2008				
CI.			ean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c		
Class First-Yea	r		9.2	57.7	Sig	.08	60.7	Sig	08	61.1		10		
Senior	1		7.5	54.2	***	.18	59.9	**	13	58.0	-	02		
beinor		5	1.5	51.2		.10	57.7		.15	50.0	,	.02		
		First-Ye	ear					S	enior					
100 —						100 —								
75 —						75 –								
	59.2	57.7	60.7	61.	1		57.5	54.2		59.9	58.0			
50 —			-			50 -	-		7			_		
25 —						25 –			2					
25						23								
0						0 -			/					
	Ferris State	Selected Peers	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2	2008		Ferris State	Selected F	Peers (Carnegie Class	NSSE 200	8		

Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) Items

Students perform better and are more satisfied at colleges that are committed to their success and cultivate positive working and social relations among different groups on campus.

Campus environment provides the support you need to help you succeed academically

- Campus environment helps you cope with your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)
- Campus environment provides the support you need to thrive socially
- Quality of relationships with other students
- Quality of relationships with faculty members
- Quality of relationships with administrative personnel and offices

weighted by gender, enronment status, and institutional size.

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Interpreting the Top 10% and Top 50% Comparisons

This section of the NSSE Benchmark Comparisons report allows you to estimate the performance of your average student in relation to the average student attending two different institutional peer groups identified by NSSE for their high levels of student engagement: (a) those with benchmark scores placing them in the top 50% of all NSSE schools in 2008 and (b) those with benchmark scores in the top 10% for 2008.^a These comparisons allow an institution to determine if their engagement of their students differs in significant, meaningful ways from these high performing peer groups.

Example

		NSSEville State		NSSE Top :		NSSE 2008 Top 10%					
		Mean	Mean	Sig	Effect size	Mean	Sig	Effect size			
•	LAC	57.1	55.8	*	.10	60.5	***	-0.28			
ear	ACL	50.3	45.8	***	.28	50.7		-0.02			
t-Y	SFI	37.3	37.2		.01	42.0	***	-0.24			
First	EEE	21.8	30.0	***	63	34.4	***	-0.98			
Ĩ	SCE	60.9	64.7	***	21	69.7	***	-0.49			

NSSEville State CAN conclude...

- The average score for NSSEville State first-year students is slightly above (i.e., small positive effect size) that of the average student attending NSSE 2008 schools that scored in the top 50% on Level of Academic Challenge (LAC).
- The average NSSEville State first-year student is as engaged (i.e., not significantly different) as the average student attending NSSE 2008 schools that scored in the top 10% on Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL).
- It is *likely* that NSSEville State is in the top 50% of all NSSE 2008 schools for first-year students on Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) and Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL).^{a,b}

NSSEville State CANNOT conclude^a...

- NSSEville State is in the top half of all schools on the Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) benchmark for first-year students.^b
- NSSEville State is a "top ten percent" institution on Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) for first-year students.^b

For additional information on how to understand and use the Top 50% and Top 10% section of the benchmark report, see www.nsse.iub.edu/2008_Institutional_Report/.

- ^a Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each benchmark, separately for first-year and senior students. Using this method, benchmark scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors are adjusted substantially toward the grand mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors receive smaller corrections. Thus, schools with less stable data, though they may have high scores, may not be identified among the top scorers.
- ^b NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release individual school results and because our policy against the ranking of institutions.





NSSE 2008 Benchmark Comparisons With Highly Engaging Institutions **Ferris State University**

			Ferris State compared with											
		Ferris State	1	NSSE 2 Top 5(NSSE 2008 Top 10%							
		Mean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect size ^c						
	LAC	49.4	56.4	***	53	60.7	***	88	100					
ear	ACL	42.4	47.5	***	30	51.6	***	52						
First-Year	SFI	35.1	39.7	***	24	43.6	***	41	75					
firs	EEE	24.0	30.3	***	46	33.0	***	63						
	SCE	59.2	65.8	***	36	68.5	***	50	-					
	LAC	55.0	59.9	***	35	63.3	***	61	50					
H	ACL	52.2	55.4	***	18	59.7	***	43						
Senior	SFI	41.5	49.3	***	36	55.3	***	64	25					
Š	EEE	33.9	47.3	***	76	54.3	***	-1.18						
	SCE	57.5	63.5	***	32	66.7	***	50	(
									(

100


75











100











^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

Legend

Ferris State Ζ Top 50%

Top 10%

This display

compares your students with those attending schools

that scored in the top

50% and top 10% of all NSSE 2008

institutions on a

particular

benchmark.

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



NSSE 2008 Benchmark Comparisons Detailed Statistics and Effect Sizes ^a Ferris State University

Defenence Cherry

First-Year Students

											e Group		
		Me	an Stati	stics		Distrib			s	-	ompariso	n Statistic	
		Mean	SD ^b	SEM ^c	5th	Pe 25th	rcentile 50th	es ^a 75th	95th	Deg. of Freedom ^e	Mean Diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CH	IALLENGE (I	LAC)											
Ferris State	(N = 588)	49.4	12.7	.5	29	41	49	57	70				
Selected Peers		51.4	13.5	.2	30	43	51	60	75	4,771	-2.0	.001	15
Carnegie Class		52.0	13.4	.1	30	43	52	61	74	643	-2.6	.000	19
NSSE 2008		52.9	13.5	.0	31	44	53	62	75	592	-3.5	.000	26
Top 50%		56.4	13.1	.1	35	48	56	66	77	56,592	-7.0	.000	53
Top 10%		60.7	12.8	.1	38	52	61	70	80	10,602	-11.3	.000	88
ACTIVE AND COLLABORA	ATIVE LEAR	NING (AG	CL)										
Ferris State	(N = 624)	42.4	16.8	.7	19	29	42	52	71				
Selected Peers		40.0	16.4	.2	14	29	38	48	67	5,411	2.4	.001	.15
Carnegie Class		43.2	16.8	.1	19	33	43	52	71	16,077	8	.221	05
NSSE 2008		42.5	16.9	.0	19	29	42	52	71	173,219	2	.794	01
Top 50%		47.5	17.0	.1	24	33	48	57	76	53,469	-5.2	.000	30
Top 10%		51.6	17.9	.2	24	38	50	62	83	703	-9.2	.000	52
STUDENT-FACULTY INTE	RACTION (S	FI)											
Ferris State	(N = 587)	35.1	17.2	.7	11	22	33	44	67				
Selected Peers		32.7	17.7	.3	11	22	28	44	67	4,830	2.3	.003	.13
Carnegie Class		35.0	18.7	.2	11	22	33	44	72	644	.0	.949	.00
NSSE 2008		34.6	18.7	.0	11	22	33	44	72	591	.5	.520	.02
Top 50%		39.7	19.4	.1	11	28	39	50	78	606	-4.6	.000	24
Top 10%		43.6	21.2	.2	13	28	39	56	83	708	-8.5	.000	41
ENRICHING EDUCATION	AL EXPERIE	NCES (EF	EE)										
Ferris State	(N = 576)	24.0	13.5	.6	6	15	22	31	47				
Selected Peers		25.8	13.0	.2	8	17	25	33	48	4,605	-1.8	.002	14
Carnegie Class		26.4	13.4	.1	8	17	25	33	51	14,175	-2.4	.000	18
NSSE 2008		27.5	13.6	.0	8	18	26	36	51	152,496	-3.5	.000	26
Top 50%		30.3	13.7	.1	11	21	29	38	54	69,802	-6.3	.000	46
Top 10%		33.0	14.3	.1	11	23	32	42	58	628	-9.0	.000	63
SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS EN	VIRONMEN	Г (SCE)											
Ferris State	(N = 563)	59.2	18.7	.8	25	47	60	72	89				
Selected Peers		57.7	18.4	.3	28	47	58	69	89	4,488	1.4	.081	.08
Carnegie Class		60.7	19.3	.2	28	47	61	75	92	13,812	-1.6	.060	08
NSSE 2008		61.1	18.9	.0	31	47	61	75	92	148,808	-1.9	.019	10
Top 50%		65.8	18.4	.1	33	53	67	78	94	45,170	-6.6	.000	36
Top 10%		68.5	18.4	.2	36	56	69	81	97	10,835	-9.3	.000	50

^a All statistics are weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b Standard Deviation is a measure of the average amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

^c The 95% confidence interval for the population mean it is equal to the sample mean plus/minus the product of 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.

^d A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level benchmark scores at or below which a given percentage of benchmark scores fall.

^e Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary for the total Ns due to weighting and the equal variance assumption.

^f Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

^g Effect size is calculated by subtracting the comparison group mean from the school mean, and dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation.



National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2008 Benchmark Comparisons Detailed Statistics and Effect Sizes ^a Ferris State University

Seniors

			Distribution Statistics					Reference Group Comparison Statistics					
		Me	an Stati	stics					s	-	•	on Statistics	
		Mean	SD ^b	SEM ^c	5th	Pe 25th	rcentile 50th	rs ^u 75th	95th	Deg. of Freedom ^e	Mean Diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CH	ALLENGE (L	AC)											
Ferris State	(N = 640)	55.0	14.4	.6	31	45	55	65	80				
Selected Peers		54.8	14.5	.2	31	45	55	65	79	4,085	.2	.717	.02
Carnegie Class		57.0	14.3	.1	33	47	57	67	80	12,293	-2.0	.001	14
NSSE 2008		56.5	14.3	.0	33	47	57	67	79	132,576	-1.5	.007	11
Top 50%		59.9	13.8	.1	37	51	60	70	81	42,010	-4.8	.000	35
Top 10%		63.3	13.5	.2	40	54	64	73	84	731	-8.3	.000	61
ACTIVE AND COLLABORA	ATIVE LEARN	NING (AC	CL)										
Ferris State	(N = 675)	52.2	17.4	.7	25	38	52	67	81				
Selected Peers		50.0	17.3	.3	24	38	48	62	81	4,437	2.3	.002	.13
Carnegie Class		52.3	17.6	.2	24	38	52	62	83	12,855	1	.915	.00
NSSE 2008		50.8	17.6	.0	24	38	48	62	81	140,033	1.5	.031	.08
Top 50%		55.4	17.2	.1	29	43	56	67	86	43,762	-3.2	.000	18
Top 10%		59.7	17.3	.2	33	48	57	71	90	9,304	-7.4	.000	43
STUDENT-FACULTY INTE	RACTION (SH	FI)											
Ferris State	(N = 644)	41.5	20.8	.8	11	28	39	56	83				
Selected Peers		40.1	20.2	.3	11	28	39	50	78	4,129	1.4	.113	.07
Carnegie Class		43.8	21.2	.2	13	28	39	56	83	12,348	-2.3	.007	11
NSSE 2008		42.3	21.2	.1	11	28	39	56	83	133,241	8	.336	04
Top 50%		49.3	21.5	.1	17	33	47	67	89	32,140	-7.8	.000	36
Top 10%		55.3	21.7	.3	22	39	56	72	94	4,989	-13.8	.000	64
ENRICHING EDUCATIONA	L EXPERIEN	ICES (EE	EE)										
Ferris State	(N = 625)	33.9	16.7	.7	8	22	32	45	64				
Selected Peers		37.4	17.2	.3	11	25	36	48	67	3,991	-3.5	.000	21
Carnegie Class		39.7	17.9	.2	11	26	39	52	70	705	-5.8	.000	32
NSSE 2008		40.5	18.2	.1	12	27	40	53	72	631	-6.6	.000	36
Top 50%		47.3	17.7	.1	18	35	47	60	76	45,085	-13.4	.000	76
Top 10%		54.3	17.3	.2	22	43	55	67	81	7,480	-20.4	.000	-1.18
SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS EN	VIRONMENT	(SCE)											
Ferris State	(N = 615)	57.5	18.8	.8	25	44	56	69	89				
Selected Peers		54.2	19.1	.3	22	42	56	67	83	3,916	3.3	.000	.18
Carnegie Class		59.9	19.2	.2	28	47	61	72	92	11,895	-2.4	.002	13
NSSE 2008		58.0	19.4	.1	25	44	58	72	89	127,807	5	.563	02
Top 50%		63.5	18.9	.1	31	50	64	78	94	37,730	-6.0	.000	32
Top 10%		66.7	18.5	.2	33	56	67	81	97	9,256	-9.2	.000	50

^a All statistics are weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b Standard Deviation is a measure of the average amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

^c The 95% confidence interval for the population mean it is equal to the sample mean plus/minus the product of 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.

^d A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level benchmark scores at or below which a given percentage of benchmark scores fall.

^e Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary for the total Ns due to weighting and the equal variance assumption.

^f Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

^g Effect size is calculated by subtracting the comparison group mean from the school mean, and dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation.